
ROLE OF PROJECTILE DEFORMATION IN FUSION: STUDY THROUGH QUASI-ELASTIC BARRIER DISTRIBUTION

Gurpreet Kaur, Department of Physics, Panjab University, Chandigarh-160014, India

Gurpreet Kaur¹, B.R. Behera¹, A. Jhingan², B.K. Nayak³, R. Dubey², Priya Sharma¹, Meenu Thakur¹, Ruchi Mahajan¹, Tathagata Banerjee², Khushboo⁴, N. Saneesh², A. Kumar¹, S. Mandal⁴, A. Saxena³, P. Sugathan², and N. Rowley⁵

¹Department of Physics, Panjab University, Chandigarh-160014, India

²Inter University Accelerator Centre, Aruna Asaf Ali Marg, New Delhi-110067, India

³Nuclear Physics Division, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai-400085, India

⁴Department of Physics and Astrophysics, Delhi University, New Delhi-110067, India and

⁵Institut de Physique Nucléaire, Orsay Cedex, France

The relative importance of coupling to inelastic excitation of the ^{28}Si projectile in the presence of permanently deformed target has been explored. To this end, the “barrier distribution” (BD) derived from quasi-elastic (QE) back scattering has been studied for the $^{28}\text{Si}+^{154}\text{Sm}$ system. In order to quantitatively understand the measured BD, quantum coupled channel (QCC) calculations have been performed. An attractive fact appears while the comparison of derived experimental BD for $^{28}\text{Si}+^{154}\text{Sm}$ with that existing for $^{16}\text{O}+^{154}\text{Sm}$ system [1]. A hump-like structure on the high-energy side of the BD appear as the experimental signature of collective-state excitations in ^{28}Si . Furthermore, the QCC approach showed that such a structure can be well reproduced using coupled-channels calculations that approximate ^{28}Si as a pure vibrator, despite its well established rotational nature. The resolution of this anomaly lies in the hexadecapole deformation of ^{28}Si ; the contributions to the re-orientation coupling ($2^+ \rightarrow 2^+$) from the quadrupole deformation is largely canceled out by that from the hexadecapole deformation. In order to achieve this cancellation, one requires a large positive value of β_4 and we have used here the Möller-Nix value [2] of $\beta_4 = 0.25$, a value also obtained from proton scattering experiments. Apart from this, we have observed the effect of negative value of hexadecapole deformation ($\beta_4 = -0.25$) of the ^{28}Si . It causes the shift in the high energy peak of the BD by ≈ 3 MeV towards higher energy side with respect to that for its positive value and makes the fitting to experimental BD worse. Hence, it confirms the value of β_4 for ^{28}Si to be positive. Although our results cannot be regarded as a measurement of this quantity, we believe that they do at least confirm that this nucleus does indeed possess a large positive hexadecapole moment. Thus QCC approach reveals that in addition to the inelastic excitation of permanently deformed target ^{154}Sm , large positive hexadecapole deformation of projectile ^{28}Si is responsible for the measured QE BD. Furthermore for the $^{28}\text{Si}+^{154}\text{Sm}$ system, the probability of the processes where incident flux may go apart from transmission and reflection (such as quasi-fission or non-compound fission, etc.) is negligible. Hence the QE BD will indeed be similar to that for fusion, although the former may be somewhat smeared. Thus, our results show that a large positive hexadecapole deformation of projectile ^{28}Si play a significant role in the fusion process of the $^{28}\text{Si}+^{154}\text{Sm}$ system.

REFERENCES

[1] J. R. Leigh et al., Phys. Rev. C 52, 3151 (1995).

[2] P. Möller and J. R. Nix, Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tables 59, 185-381 (1995).

